

## SPOTLIGHT PROBLEMS – WEEK 7

**EXERCISE 7.1 (Taylor Polynomials).** Given a differentiable function  $f$ , and  $c$  in the domain of  $f$ , the linear approximation of  $f$  around  $c$  is given by:

$$p_1(x) = f'(c)(x - c) + f(c)$$

Or, more verbosely,

$$p_1(x) = f'(c)(x - c)^1 + f(c)(x - c)^0$$

Now suppose we are interested in finding a best-fit quadratic polynomial,  $p_2$ , for a twice-differentiable function  $f$ , centered at  $c$ :

$$p_2(x) = a_2(x - c)^2 + a_1(x - c) + a_0$$

Find  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ , and  $a_2$  in terms of  $f$  and its derivatives so that  $p_2(c) = f(c)$ ,  $p_2'(c) = f'(c)$ , and  $p_2''(c) = f''(c)$ .

Now do the same for polynomials  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  of degrees 3 and 4 of similar form, and observe a pattern.

These polynomials are defined to be the Taylor polynomials of  $f$  centered at  $c$ . What do you expect the formula for the  $n$ -th degree Taylor polynomial to be?

Meanwhile, the Taylor *series* is given by the infinite sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(x - c)^k$ , where the coefficients  $a_k$  are derived in the same way as for Taylor polynomials. Find the Taylor series for the natural exponential function, sine, and cosine, taking  $c = 0$ .

**EXERCISE 7.2 (Optimization).** Find all local and global extremum of the given functions:

- (1)  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $f(x) = x^3$
- (2)  $g : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $g(x) = \sqrt[3]{x}$
- (3)  $h : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $h(x) = x^3(x - 1)$
- (4)  $j : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $j(x) = 2|x|(|x| - 2) + 1$
- (5)  $k : (-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $k(x) = x^5 + x$

Try finding the extremum *before* graphing the functions.

**EXERCISE 7.3 (Power rule for negative integers and rational exponents).** Until now, we have assumed that the power rule holds true for all real exponents, but the sketch of the proof provided in class only proves the case of a natural number exponent ( $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ).

- Using the quotient rule and the power rule for exponents in  $\mathbb{N}$ , show the power rule also holds for all of  $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . (Start by letting  $m$  be a negative integer, and write  $x^m = \frac{1}{x^{-m}}$ .)
- Next, prove the power rule for exponents in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , using the chain rule, and assuming that the power rule works for all exponents in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . (Start with the equation  $(x^{p/q})^q = x^p$ .)

**EXERCISE 7.4 (Leibniz rule).** The Binomial Theorem states that given any real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , and a natural number  $n$ :

$$(a + b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^k$$

A surprisingly similar result is that provided  $n$ -times differentiable functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the  $n$ -th derivative of their product is given by:

$$(fg)^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f^{(n-k)} g^{(k)}$$

Prove this equality using mathematical induction.

**EXERCISE 7.5** (*n*-th derivative of power functions). Show, by using mathematical induction, that  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}(x^m) = \frac{m!}{(m-n)!}x^{m-n}$ , whenever  $n \leq m$ , and  $\frac{d^n}{dx^n}(x^m) = 0$ , whenever  $n > m$ .

**EXERCISE 7.6** (Linear least squares regression). Suppose you have the following data from a sample:

$$\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$$

Linear least squares regression is the modelling of a sample of data with a linear function (not to be confused with linear approximation!).

- (1) Define  $\ell$  by  $\ell(x) = mx + b$ , and suppose  $m$  and  $b$  are chosen so that  $\sum_{k=1}^n (\ell(x_k) - y_k)^2$  is minimized (hence, least squares). Reduce the problem of finding  $m$  and  $b$  to minimize the sum to a system of two equations.
- (2) Find the linear least squares regression of the following data:

$x_k$	$y_k$
2	8.7
5	140.3
7	355.8
8	539.2

**EXERCISE 7.7** (Advanced problem of the week). This problem proposes a more potent test for determining when a differentiable function attains a local extremum, a generalization of the second derivative test, which is inconclusive when we find that  $f''(c) = f'(c) = 0$ .

Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $m$  times differentiable on some open interval containing  $c$ , and suppose that  $f^{(m)}$  is also continuous at  $c$ .

- (1) Show that if  $f^{(n)}(c) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq n < m$ , and  $f^{(m)}(c) \neq 0$ , then there is some function  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , continuous on the interval containing  $c$ , such that:

$$f'(x) = (x - c)^{m-1} h(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \ \& \ h(c) \neq 0$$

(You may assume L'Hôpital's Rule to be true.)

- (2) Assume that  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis in (1). Show the following:
  - (a) If  $m$  is even and  $f^{(m)}(c) > 0$ , then  $f$  has a local minimum at  $c$ .
  - (b) If  $m$  is even and  $f^{(m)}(c) < 0$ , then  $f$  has a local maximum at  $c$ .
  - (c) If  $m$  is odd and  $f^{(m)}(c) > 0$ , then  $f$  is increasing on some interval containing  $c$ .
  - (d) If  $m$  is odd and  $f^{(m)}(c) < 0$ , then  $f$  is decreasing on some interval containing  $c$ .

To make this problem somewhat simpler, you may, without loss of generality, let  $c = 0$ .

**EXERCISE 7.8.** Prove the following propositions:

- (1) (Bounded Monotone Convergence Theorem) Let  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence ( $j > k$  implies  $x_j \geq x_k$  for all  $j$  and  $k$ ) that is bounded from above by some  $M$  ( $x_k \leq M$  for all  $k$ ). Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$  exists and is finite.
- (2) (Monotonicity of sup and inf) Let  $\emptyset \neq B \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . If  $A$  has an upper bound, then  $\sup B \leq \sup A$ . If  $A$  has a lower bound, then  $\inf A \leq \inf B$ .
- (3) (Heine Definition of Continuity) Let  $f$  be continuous at some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = c$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_k) = f(c)$ .
- (4) (Extreme Value Theorem) Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then there exists a  $c$  and  $d$  in  $[a, b]$  such that for all  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ ,  $f(d) \leq f(x) \leq f(c)$ .

You may assume the existence of a global minimum follows as a corollary from the existence from a global maximum. Furthermore, there are two items to prove here:

- (a) That  $f$  is bounded from above. (There is some  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ .)
- (b) That  $f$  attains a maximum. (There is some  $c$  such that  $f(c) = \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in [a, b]\}$ .)